

FILED

JUL 26 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LUIS CARLOS ERIKSEN,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10327

D.C. No. CR-03-01511-DCB

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
David C. Bury, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006^{**}

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Luis Carlos Eriksen appeals from the judgment of conviction and 180-month sentence imposed following his jury trial for conspiracy to possess with

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

intent to distribute marijuana and possession with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(vii), and 846.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Eriksen has filed a brief stating that he finds no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our examination of the brief and our independent review of the record on appeal pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988), disclose no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.